

University of Puerto Rico Río Piedras Campus College of Natural Sciences Department of Chemistry 17 Ave. Universidad STE 1701 San Juan PR 00925-2537



Chemistry Graduate Program Evaluation Form for Research Seminar Presentation Chemistry 8901-8902

Student	Name_			
Semina	r Title:			
Date of	semina	r		
Evaluat	or			
Signatu	re of ev	aluator		
I.	Manus	script (20 pts)	<i>Grade</i> / 20	
	Ev	valuation criteria:		
	1.	Was the theme pertinent to the assignment?		
	2.	Was the theme appropriate for a general audience?		
	3.	How well does the summary represent the oral presentation?		
	4.	Do the style, orthography, organization, and format comply with	ACS standards?	
	5.	Are appropriate references used and is proper acknowledgement g	given to the work of others?	
	6.	Is the writing original and does it not feature any examples of plag	giarism?	
Comme	ents or re	ecommendations regarding the evaluation criteria. Please denote wh	ich criteria you are refering to:	
				_
	-			—

	Evaluation criteria:						
	A.	Int	roduction and Background/ 20				
		1.	Clearly and precisely expressed the problem or subject matter of the seminar?				
		2.	The topic was discussed with an adequate perspective?				
		3.	Did the student demonstrate sufficient knowledge of the background of the subject?				
		4.	Were the objectives of seminar presented clearly?				
	В.	De	scription of topic/ 20				
		1.	Was the presentation organized and coherent?				
		2.	Was there a clear and logical presentation of the methodology of the experiments and the results from the literature presented?				
		3.	Was there a critical analysis of the results that demonstrated an extensive knowledge of the topic?				
		4.	Were conclusions clearly presented and demonstrated the student's comprehension of the topic?				
	C.	Pre	esentation techniques/ 20				
		1.	Was emphasis placed on important points and concepts?				
		2.	Was there a clear and organized presentation of diagrams, schemes, figures, tables, graphics? Did he or she explain their significance and relevance to the subject?				
		3.	Was there a good use of audiovisual resources, if at all?				
		4.	Did the presenter capture the audience's attention?				
		5.	Did the presenter establish eye contact with the audience?				
		6.	Did the presenter adequately use the time? (No less than 45 minutes for the presentation)				
Comments	or re	com	nmendations regarding the evaluation criteria. Please denote which section or criteria you are refering to:				

II. Presentation (60 pts)

1.	Did the student answer questions in a satisfactory way or did the responses demonstrate a lack of comprehension of the material?										
2.	on by using other ex	by using other examples									
3.	Did the student display confidence when responding	g to que	stions?								
Comments or recommendations regarding the evaluation criteria. Please denote which criteria you are refering to:											
TOTAL PO	INTS EARNED					/ 100					
Evaluation to 100-90 A 89-80 B+ 79-70 B <69	otal: (Excellent) (Very Good) (Satisfactory) (Failed)										
Note for the	evaluator: Please consider the following: (Numbered	from lo	w to high	1)							
Level of subj	ect's difficulty:	1	2	3	4	5					
Knowledge o	or experience that the evaluator has on the subject:	1	2	3	4	5					
	to these two questions will be considered as sup 901-02) not necessary criteria for the final grade that										

/ 20

III. Questions (20 pts)

Evaluation criteria: